

Radiation Environments for Lunar Programs

Joseph I. Minow(1), Richard L. Altstatt(2), William C. Blackwell, Jr.(2),
and Katherine J. Harine(3)

(1)NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL

(2) Jacobs Engineering, ESTS Group, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL

(3) Raytheon ITSS, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL

Developing reliable space systems for lunar exploration and infrastructure for extended duration operations on the lunar surface requires analysis and mitigation of potential system vulnerabilities to radiation effects on materials and systems. This paper reviews the characteristics of space radiation environments relevant to lunar programs including the trans-Earth and trans-lunar injection trajectories through the Earth's radiation belts, solar wind surface dose environments, energetic solar particle events, and galactic cosmic rays and discusses the radiation design environments being developed for lunar program requirements to assure that systems operate successfully in the space environment.



Radiation Environments for Lunar Programs

Joseph I. Minow

NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center

Richard L. Altstatt and William C. Blackwell, Jr.

Jacobs Engineering, MSFC Group


NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center

Katherine J. Harine

Raytheon ITSS, MSFC Group

NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center

*Space Technology Applications International Forum
Albuquerque, NM, 12-15 February 2007*





Overview

- Radiation environments will be a prime concern for future long term lunar missions
- How different are lunar environments compared to the well characterized LEO, GEO environments?
- Constellation radiation design environments



Overview

- Radiation environments will be a prime concern for future long term lunar missions
- How different are lunar environments compared to the well characterized LEO, GEO environments?
- Constellation radiation design environments

• Environments


- Galactic cosmic rays
 - Solar particle events
 - Trapped radiation
 - Solar wind, magnetosphere, plasma sheath
 - Lunar photoelectrons
-

Space System Effects

- SEE, crew dose
 - SEE, TID, crew dose
 - SEE, TID, charging
 - TID, charging
 - dust charging
-







Magnetosphere and Lunar Orbit



Adams et al., 1981




Inclination, Departure Longitude and Dose







Single TLI orbit

perigee = 300 km

apogee = 379,867 km




Inclination, Departure Longitude and Dose





Single TLI orbit
perigee = 300 km
apogee = 379,867 km



Galactic Cosmic Rays, Solar Energetic Particles




- Lunar 60 Re orbit is $\sim 1 \pm 0.0026$ AU
- Same cosmic ray, solar energetic particle environment as Earth
- Magnetotail ~ 10 nT field at lunar orbit weaker than the 50 nT to 100 nT at GEO



CREME 1996 [Tylka et al., 1997]



Galactic Cosmic Rays, Solar Energetic Particles






- CREME96 Worst Week + 1 year GCR (solar min)
- Flare environment dominates at energies less than few hundred MeV
 - Particles responsible for total dose issues removed by shielding
 - Energetic (100's MeV to multiple GeV) particles difficult to shield
 - Electronics upsets
 - Crew dose



Regolith Shielding Properties for GCR

- FLUKA transport code
- Shield with Apollo-16 lunar soil composition
- CREME96 GCR Z=1 solar minimum
- Isotropic incident flux over hemisphere

Compound	Percent A-16	Percent JSC-1
Na ₂ O	0.46	2.70
Al ₂ O ₃	27.30	15.02
FeO	5.10	7.35
CaO	15.70	10.42
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.07	3.44
MnO	0.30	0.18
MgO	5.70	9.01
SiO ₂	45.00	47.71
K ₂ O	0.17	0.82
TiO ₂	0.54	1.59
P ₂ O ₅	0.11	0.66
Cr ₂ O ₃	0.33	0.04






GCR Dose

Shielding (Apollo-16 soil)	GCR Dose (FLUKA) ($1 \leq Z \leq 28$)
2 cm	15.9 cGy/yr
25 cm	9.3 cGy/yr
50 cm	5.6 cGy/yr

Deterministic LEO Dose Limits*

Dose Equiv. (cSv)	BFO	Ocular Lens	Skin
30-day	25	100	150
Annual	50	200	300
Career	100-400	400	600

* [NCRP-98-1989] (from Wilson et al., 1997)



Mission Dose (cSv) Estimates (50 cm regolith shielded cylinder)

	GCR Feb 56 Flare Mission Dose		
30-days	1	7.5	8.5
6 months	6	7.5	13.5
1 year	12	7.5	19.5

(from Simonson et al., 1997)



GCR Dose


Shielding (Apollo-16 soil)	GCR Dose (FLUKA) ($1 \leq Z \leq 28$)
2 cm	15.9 cGy/yr
25 cm	9.3 cGy/yr
50 cm	5.6 cGy/yr

Deterministic LEO Dose Limits*

Dose Equiv. (cSv)

	BFO	Ocular Lens	Skin
30-day	25	100	150
Annual	50	200	300
Career	100-400	400	600

* [NCRP-98-1989] (from Wilson et al., 1997)



Mission Dose (cSv) Estimates (50 cm regolith shielded cylinder)

GCR Feb 56 Flare Mission Dose

30-days	1	7.5	8.5
6 months	6	7.5	13.5
1 year	12	7.5	19.5


(from Simonson et al., 1997)

**Evaluating stochastic human dose
risk requires more detailed analysis!**



Total Ionizing Dose Comparison

- ESP solar proton model [Xapsos et al., 2000]
- AE-8/AP-8 trapped radiation environments
- Mission:
 - 1 TLI trajectory to Moon
 - 1 TEI trajectory from Moon
 - Single flare during 1 year on Moon
 - Neglect GCR
- ISS 1 year
- GEO 1 year






Energetic Particle Access to Magnetotail

23 SEPTEMBER through
27 SEPTEMBER 2001

L1

Protons

107-227 keV




Ions

0.03-30 keV

Electrons

0.03-30 keV




**Univ of Iowa
Geotail/CPI/HPA**

Solar energetic particles have nearly free access to outer magnetosphere and magnetotail—no protection for Moon when in magnetotail



Lunar Radiation Environments


- Lunar Prospector Electron Reflectometer
 - Spin average electron flux
 - ~40 eV to ~20 keV
- April 1998
 - Earth's magnetotail
 - Solar energetic particle event





Lunar Radiation Environments


- Lunar Prospector Electron Reflectometer
 - Spin average electron flux
 - ~40 eV to ~20 keV
- 4-5 April 1998
 - Moon in solar wind
 - Plasma wake
 - Solar particle event and wake





Solar UV/EUV Photons

Lunar UV/EUV environment essentially same as LEO, GEO		
	Incident flux	
--Transit		100%
Lunar:		
--Mid-latitudes		~50%
--Poles		0% to ~50%



- Proton fluence integrated from Solar2000 model [Tobiska et al., 2000]
- EUV ($\lambda < 120$ nm) photon fluence for 10 years $\sim 10^{17}$ photons/cm² nearly equal to solar wind fluence for 10 years ($\sim 3 \times 10^8 \times 3 \times 10^7 \times 10$) = 9×10^{16} $\sim 1 \times 10^{17}$
- UV photon flux dominates solar wind at lower energies
 - Lyman- α ($\lambda = 121.6$ nm) alone $\sim 10^{20}$ photons/cm²




X-ray Photons

Large flares can generate sufficient photon fluence at x-ray energies to be a biological radiation concern in lightly shielded locations

Dose due to large flares [Smith and Scalo, 2007]

- $\sim 10^{31}$ erg flare
- Flux $\sim E \cdot p$ photons/cm²-sec $2 < p < 6$
- 10 keV – 511 keV






X-ray Flare Photons


Large flares can generate sufficient photon fluence at x-ray energies to be a biological radiation concern in lightly shielded locations

Dose due to large flares [Smith and Scalo, 2007]

- $\sim 10^{31}$ erg flare
- Flux $\sim E \cdot P$ photons/cm²-sec $2 < p < 6$
- 10 keV – 511 keV



Updated 2006 Dec 7 23:56:08 UTC NOAA/SEC Boulder, CO USA



STAIF 2007 11-15 February 2007
Albuquerque, NM



Large Flares

- Large X-class flares
 - Duration 5 - 30 min
- These same flare environments impact low Earth orbit EVA's
 - ISS construction
 - Shuttle EVA
 - Mir
 - Gemini, etc.
- LEO orbit periods typically ~90 min
 - 50% to ~100% of orbit exposed to flare depending on inclination and orientation of orbit plane
 - Experience derived from flare monitoring, dose evaluation in LEO EVA operations useful for developing lunar EVA concept of operations
- Challenge for lunar EVA
 - Limited warning, rise times ~minutes or less
 - Dose accumulates for 5-30 min periods instead of days required for energetic particle events
 - Large (10^{31} erg) x-ray flares are about 50x more common than 10^{10} p-cm⁻² SPE's


Historic Large Flares

1 X28+	04/11/03	
2 X20.0	02/04/01	16/08/89
3 X17.2	28/10/03	peak 4.6×10^{32} ergs
4 X17	07/09/05	
5 X15.0	06/03/89	11/07/78
6 X14.4	15/04/01	
7 X13.0	24/04/84	19/10/89
8 X12.9	15/12/82	
9 X12.0	06/06/82	01/06/91 04/06/91
	06/06/91	11/06/91 15/06/91
10 X10.1	17/12/82	20/05/84
11 X10.0	29/10/03	25/01/91 09/06/91
12 X9.8	09/07/82	29/09/89
13 X9.4	22/03/91	06/11/97
14 X9.3	24/05/90	
15 X9.0	05/12/06	06/11/80 02/11/92

(adapted from <http://www.spaceweather.com/solarflares/topflares.html>)



SPE Anisotropy





Constellation Design Environments


Design philosophy:

- Adopt credible extreme environments to assure hardware operations reliably with minimal interruptions due to space weather
- Let crew dose drive operations issues, hardware should not
- Design one system for operations in LEO, Earth-Moon transit, and lunar operations
 - Adopt most stressing radiation environment to drive design whether in LEO, GEO, or interplanetary space (solar energetic particles, GCR)



Constellation Design Environments


- Peak SPE LET flux spectra
 - Based on October 1989 flare environments derived from CREME96 model





Constellation Design Environments


- GCR LET flux spectra
 - Based on solar minimum worst case GCR environments derived from CREME96 model





Constellation Design Environments

- Proton SPE, GCR flux spectra
 - Based on October 1989 flare environments derived from CREME96 model






Constellation Design Environments

- Proton SPE, GCR fluence spectra (for total dose analyses)
 - Based on October 1989 flare, solar minimum GCR environments derived from CREME96 model

Event	Max >30 MeV flux (#/cm ² -s-sr)	>30 MeV fluence (#/cm ²)
1859/09/01	5 x 10 ⁴	19 x 10 ⁹
1960/11/15	-----	9 x 10 ⁹
1946/07/25	-----	6 x 10 ⁹
1972/08/04	2 x 10 ⁴	5 x 10 ⁹
2000/07/12	-----	4.3 x 10 ⁹
1989/10/19	-----	4.2 x 10 ⁹
2001/11/04	-----	3.4 x 10 ⁹
2003/10/28	4.5x10 ³	3.4 x 10 ⁹
2000/08/00	-----	3.2 x 10 ⁹
1959/07/14	-----	2.3 x 10 ⁹
1991/03/22	-----	1.8 x 10 ⁹
1989/08/12	-----	1.4 x 10 ⁹
1989/09/29	-----	1.4 x 10 ⁹
2001/09/24	-----	1.2 x 10 ⁹
2005/01/15	-----	1.0 x 10 ⁹


Sources: Smart and Shea, 2002; Reedy, 2006;
Smart et al., 2005





Constellation Design Environments


- Total ionizing dose
 - Based on October 1989 flare, solar minimum GCR environments derived from CREME96 model





Constellation Design Environments

- Solar wind environments
 - High flux, low energy environments relevant to optical properties of material surfaces
 - Genesis ~3 year solar wind ion fluence, dose estimates shown here





Summary

- Radiation and plasma environments encountered during lunar missions similar to environments encountered in LEO, GEO missions
- Radiation environments are not significant threats for hardware and crew dose issues are not large for missions on order of year or less with adequate shielding:
 - Radiation belt transit
 - Solar energetic particles
 - Galactic cosmic rays
- Sufficiently conservative design environments are in place to assure reliable hardware operation with minimal impact from space radiation environments